CHAPTER I

THE ORDER OF THE RECURRENCE FOR F(n,k)

We show slightly better upper bounds for the order of the recurrence satisfied by a given proper-hypergeometric term F(n,k). We follow the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [WZ3] and hold fast unto the estimates to obtain our bounds.

Definition 1.1. [WZ3] A proper-hypergeometric term is a function of the form

(1.1)
$$F(n,k) = P(n,k) \frac{\prod_{s=1}^{p} (a_s n + b_s k + c_s)!}{\prod_{s=1}^{q} (u_s n + v_s k + w_s)!} \xi^k,$$

where P is a polynomial and ξ is a parameter. The *a*'s, *b*'s, *u*'s and *v*'s are assumed to be specific integers, i.e., they are integers and do not depend on any other parameters. The *c*'s and the *w*'s are also integers, but they may depend on parameters. We will say that F is well-defined at (n, k) if none of the numbers $\{a_s n + b_s k + c_s\}_1^p$ is a negative integer. We will say that F(n, k) = 0 if F is well-defined at (n, k) and at least one of the numbers $\{u_s n + v_s k + w_s\}_1^q$ is a negative integer, or P(n, k) = 0.

Definition 1.2. [WZ3] A proper-hypergeometric term F is said to satisfy a k-free recurrence at a point $(n_0, k_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ if there are integers I, J and polynomials $\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_{i,j}(n)$ that do not depend on k and are not all zero, such that the relation

(1.2)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{I} \sum_{j=0}^{J} \alpha_{i,j}(n) F(n-j,k-i) = 0$$

holds for all (n, k) in some \mathbb{R}^2 neighborhood of (n_0, k_0) , in the sense that F is well-defined at all of the arguments that occur, and the relation (1.2) is true. **Theorem 1.3.** [WZ3, Theorem 3.1] Every proper-hypergeometric term F satisfies a nontrivial k-free recurrence relation. Indeed there exist I,J and polynomials $\alpha_{i,j}(n)$ $(i = 0, \ldots, I; j = 0, \ldots, J)$ not all zero, such that (1.2) holds at every point $(n_0, k_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ for which $F(n_0, k_0) \neq 0$ and all of the values $F(n_0 - j, k_0 - i)$ that occur in (1.2) are welldefined. Furthermore there exists such a recurrence with $(I, J) = (I^*, J^*)$, where

(1.3)
$$J^* = \sum_s |b_s| + \sum_s |v_s|, \quad I^* = 1 + \deg(P) + J^* \Big(\Big(\sum_s |a_s| + \sum_s |u_s| \Big) - 1 \Big).$$

1.1 Slightly better upper bounds

Notation. We let $x^+ := \max\{0, x\}$. The set $\{1, 2, \dots, I\}$ is denoted by [I], and $[I]_0$ means $[I] \cup \{0\}$. We let $x^{\underline{m}}$ denote $x(x-1) \cdots (x-m+1)$, and $x^{\overline{m}}$ denote $x(x+1) \cdots (x+m-1)$ for positive integers m. We define $x^{\underline{0}} = 1 = x^{\overline{0}}$.

We improve the bounds for I^* and J^* by

Theorem 1.4. Let

$$U := \sum_{\substack{s \\ v_s \neq 0}} u_s, \quad V := \sum_s v_s, \qquad A := \sum_{\substack{s \\ b_s \neq 0}} a_s, \quad B := \sum_s b_s,$$
$$\mathcal{A} := \sum_{\substack{s \\ b_s \neq 0}} (a_s)^+ + \sum_{\substack{s \\ v_s \neq 0}} (-u_s)^+, \quad \mathcal{B} := \sum_s (b_s)^+ + \sum_s (-v_s)^+,$$

and $\delta = \deg_k P(n,k)$. Then J^* and I^* in (1.3) of Theorem 1.3 can be replaced by

$$J^* = \mathcal{B} + (V - B)^+$$
, and $I^* = 1 + \delta + J^* \left(\mathcal{A} + (U - A)^+ - 1 \right)$.

Proof. Fix some I, J > 0, and suppose (n_0, k_0) is a point that satisfies the two conditions of the theorem. Since we assumed that all of the a_s, b_s, u_s, v_s in Definition 1.1 are integers, we

have that for all (n, k) in some \mathbb{R}^2 neighborhood of (n_0, k_0) , all of the ratios F(n-j, k-i)/F(n, k) are well-defined *rational functions* of n and k. (See (1.1) for F(n, k).) Hence we can form a linear combination

(1.4)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{I} \sum_{j=0}^{J} \alpha_{i,j}(n) \frac{F(n-j,k-i)}{F(n,k)}$$

of these rational functions, in which the α 's are to be determined, if possible, so as to make the sum vanish identically in the neighborhood.

As in [WZ3], the problem is to find a common denominator for the summand in (1.4). Instead we find a common denominator D(n, k) for

$$\sum_{i=0}^{I} \sum_{j=0}^{J} \frac{F(n-j,k-i)}{F(n,k)}$$

Clearly, D(n,k) is also a common denominator for the summand in (1.4).

Consider

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{F(n-j,k)}{F(n,k)} = \frac{P(n-j,k)}{P(n,k)} \prod_{s=1}^{p} \frac{(a_s n + b_s k + c_s - a_s j)!}{(a_s n + b_s k + c_s)!} \prod_{s=1}^{q} \frac{(u_s n + v_s k + w_s)!}{(u_s n + v_s k + w_s - u_s j)!}$$

which contributes to the denominator D(n,k), if $a_s > 0$, or $u_s < 0$, or both.

In (1.5), if $a_s > 0$ for some $s \in [p]$, then

$$\frac{(a_s n + b_s k + c_s - a_s j)!}{(a_s n + b_s k + c_s)!} = \frac{1}{(a_s n + b_s k + c_s)^{\frac{a_s j}{2}}}$$

Since $(a_s n + b_s k + c_s)^{\frac{a_s j}{2}}$ divides $(a_s n + b_s k + c_s)^{\frac{a_s J}{2}}$ for $0 < j \le J$ and $a_s > 0$, a common denominator for $\sum_{j=0}^{J} \frac{F(n-j,k)}{F(n,k)}$ is

(1.6)
$$P(n,k) \prod_{\substack{s=1\\a_s>0}}^{p} (a_s n + b_s k + c_s)^{\frac{a_s J}{m}} \prod_{\substack{s=1\\u_s<0}}^{q} (u_s n + v_s k + w_s + 1)^{\overline{-u_s J}}.$$

Similarly, a common denominator for $\sum_{i=0}^{I} \frac{F(n,k-i)}{F(n,k)}$ is

(1.7)
$$P(n,k) \prod_{\substack{s=1\\b_s>0}}^{p} (a_s n + b_s k + c_s)^{\frac{b_s I}{n}} \prod_{\substack{s=1\\v_s<0}}^{q} (u_s n + v_s k + w_s + 1)^{-v_s I}.$$

Putting (1.6) and (1.7) together, we have

$$D(n,k) = P(n,k) \prod_{s=1}^{p} (a_s n + b_s k + c_s) \frac{\max_{i,j} (a_s j + b_s i)^+}{\sum_{s=1}^{q} (u_s n + v_s k + w_s + 1)^{\max_{i,j} (-u_s j - v_s i)^+}}.$$

Clearly,

$$\max_{\substack{i \in [I]_0 \\ j \in [J]_0}} (a_s j + b_s i)^+ = (a_s)^+ J + (b_s)^+ I,$$

and

$$\max_{\substack{i \in [I]_0 \\ j \in [J]_0}} (-u_s j - v_s i)^+ = (-u_s)^+ J + (-v_s)^+ I.$$

If we let $\delta:=\deg_k P(n,k),$ then the degree in k of D(n,k) is

$$\begin{split} \delta + J \Big(\sum_{\substack{s \in [p] \\ b_s \neq 0}} (a_s)^+ \Big) + I \Big(\sum_{s \in [p]} (b_s)^+ \Big) + J \Big(\sum_{\substack{s \in [q] \\ v_s \neq 0}} (-u_s)^+ \Big) + I \Big(\sum_{s \in [p] \\ b_s \neq 0} (a_s)^+ + \sum_{\substack{s \in [q] \\ v_s \neq 0}} (-u_s)^+ \Big) + I \Big(\sum_{s \in [p]} (b_s)^+ + \sum_{s \in [q]} (-v_s)^+ \Big). \end{split}$$

Next, we find the degree in k of the numerator polynomial N(n, k) in (1.4) with D(n, k)as the common denominator. Consider the (i, j)th term in

(1.8)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{I} \sum_{j=0}^{J} \frac{F(n-j,k-i)}{F(n,k)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{split} \frac{F(n-j,k-i)}{F(n,k)} &= \frac{P(n-j,k-i)}{P(n,k)} \xi^{-i} \\ &\times \underbrace{\prod_{\substack{s=1\\a_sj+b_si<0}}^{p} (a_sn+b_sk+c_s+1)^{\overline{-a_sj-b_si}}}_{p} \prod_{\substack{u_sj+v_si>0\\u_sj+v_si<0}}^{q} (u_sn+v_sk+w_s)^{\underline{u_sj+v_si}}, \\ &\prod_{\substack{s=1\\a_sj+b_si>0}}^{p} (a_sn+b_sk+c_s)^{\underline{a_sj+b_si}} \prod_{\substack{u_sj+v_si<0}}^{q} (u_sn+v_sk+w_s+1)^{\overline{-u_sj-v_si}}, \end{split}$$

by letting

$$N_{i,j} := \prod_{\substack{s=1\\a_sj+b_si<0}}^p (a_sn+b_sk+c_s+1)^{\overline{-a_sj-b_si}} \prod_{\substack{s=1\\u_sj+v_si>0}}^q (u_sn+v_sk+w_s)^{\underline{u_sj+v_si}},$$

and

$$D_{i,j} := \prod_{\substack{s=1\\a_sj+b_si>0}}^p (a_sn+b_sk+c_s)^{\underline{a_sj+b_si}} \prod_{\substack{s=1\\u_sj+v_si<0}}^q (u_sn+v_sk+w_s+1)^{\overline{-u_sj-v_si}},$$

we have

$$\frac{F(n-j,k-i)}{F(n,k)} = \frac{P(n-j,k-i)}{P(n,k)} \xi^{-i} \frac{N_{i,j} D(n,k)}{D_{i,j} D(n,k)}.$$

Hence, the degree in k of the numerator of the (i,j)th term in (1.8) with D(n,k) as the denominator, i.e., $P(n-j,k-i)\xi^{-i}N_{i,j}D(n,k)/(D_{i,j}P(n,k))$, is

$$(1.9) \quad \delta + \sum_{\substack{b_s \neq 0 \\ a_s j + b_s i < 0}} (-a_s j - b_s i) + \sum_{\substack{v_s \neq 0 \\ u_s j + v_s i > 0}} (u_s j + v_s i) \\ + \deg_k D(n,k) - \sum_{\substack{b_s \neq 0 \\ a_s j + b_s i > 0}} (a_s j + b_s i) - \sum_{\substack{v_s \neq 0 \\ u_s j + v_s i < 0}} (-u_s j - v_s i) - \delta \\ = \deg_k D(n,k) + \sum_{v_s \neq 0} (u_s j + v_s i) - \sum_{b_s \neq 0} (a_s j + b_s i).$$

Taking the maximum over i, j of the last line of (1.9) gives

$$\deg_k N(n,k) = \max_{i,j} \left(\deg_k D(n,k) + \sum_{v_s \neq 0} (u_s j + v_s i) - \sum_{b_s \neq 0} (a_s j + b_s i) \right)$$

=
$$\deg_k D(n,k) + \max_{i,j} \left(j \sum_{\substack{v_s \neq 0 \\ v_s \neq 0}} u_s + i \sum_s v_s - j \sum_{\substack{b_s \neq 0 \\ b_s \neq 0}} a_s - i \sum_s b_s \right).$$

Let

$$U := \sum_{\substack{s \\ v_s \neq 0}} u_s, \quad V := \sum_s v_s, \quad A := \sum_{\substack{s \\ b_s \neq 0}} a_s, \quad B := \sum_s b_s.$$

We can rewrite $\deg_k N(n,k)$ as

$$\deg_k N(n,k) = \deg_k D(n,k) + \max_{i,j} (j(U-A) + i(V-B))$$

= $\deg_k D(n,k) + J(U-A)^+ + I(V-B)^+.$

Knowing the degree in k of N(n, k), we deduce that there are $1 + \deg_k N(n, k)$ homogeneous linear equations to solve in (I + 1)(J + 1) unknowns, namely, the $\alpha_{i,j}$'s. A system of solutions for the $\alpha_{i,j}$'s exists, if $(I + 1)(J + 1) \ge 2 + \deg_k N(n, k)$. From the inequality, we will obtain an upper bound for J.

Let

$$\mathcal{A} := \sum_{\substack{s \\ b_s \neq 0}} (a_s)^+ + \sum_{\substack{v_s \neq 0}} (-u_s)^+, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} := \sum_s (b_s)^+ + \sum_s (-v_s)^+,$$

Then,

$$\deg_k N(n,k) = \deg_k D(n,k) + \max_{i,j} \left(j(U-A) + i(V-B) \right)$$
$$= \delta + JA + IB + J(U-A)^+ + I(V-B)^+.$$

If $\mathcal{B} + (V - B)^+ \neq 0$, we let $J^* = \mathcal{B} + (V - B)^+$, and solve for I^* in $(I + 1)(J + 1) \geq 2 + \deg_k N(n,k)$ to get $I^* = 1 + \delta + (\mathcal{A} + (U - A)^+ - 1)(\mathcal{B} + (V - B)^+)$ as an upper bound.

If $\mathcal{B} + (V - B)^+ = 0$, namely

$$\sum_{s} b_{s}^{+} + \sum_{s} (-v_{s})^{+} + (\sum_{s} v_{s} - \sum_{s} b_{s})^{+} = 0,$$

then $b_s = 0$ for all $s \in [p]$, and $v_s = 0$ for all $s \in [q]$. In other words, the factorial part of F(n, k) is independent of k. In this case,

$$\sum_{k} F(n,k) = \frac{\prod_{s \in [p]} (a_s n + c_s)!}{\prod_{s \in [q]} (u_s n + w_s)!} \sum_{k} P(n,k) \xi^k$$
$$= \frac{\prod_{s \in [p]} (a_s n + c_s)!}{\prod_{s \in [q]} (u_s n + w_s)!} P(n,\xi D) \frac{1}{1 - \xi}.$$

1.2 EXAMPLES

The sum above is summable but infinite. Since we are concerned with only terminating hypergeometric series, we can disregard the case $\mathcal{B} + (V - B)^+ = 0$. \Box

Remark. If P(n,k) in F(n,k) is a constant, then $\delta = 0$. In this case, the I^* and J^* from Theorem 1.4 agree with the results in [W2] when $\mathcal{B} + (V - B)^+ \neq 0$.

1.2 EXAMPLES

Example 1.5. Take $F(n,k) = {n \choose k}^2$. We express F(n,k) in the form of Definition 1.1 to get $n!^2/(k!^2(n-k)!^2)$. Then $a_1 = a_2 = 1$, $b_1 = b_2 = 0$, $u_1 = u_2 = 0$, $u_3 = u_4 = 1$, $v_1 = v_2 = 1$, $v_3 = v_4 = -1$, U = 2, V = 0, A = 0, B = 0, A = 0, B = 2. Since U - A = 2 and V - B = 0, we get $J^* = 2$ and $I^* = 3$.

The following two examples are from [W2, p. 4].

Example 1.6. [W2] Fix a positive integer m, and put

$$F(n,k) = \binom{n}{k}^m = \frac{n!^m}{k!^m(n-k)!^m}.$$

Then $a_i = 1, i \in [m]$; $b_i = 0, i \in [m]$; $u_i = 0, i \in [m]$; $u_i = 1, i \in [2m] \setminus [m]$; $v_i = 1$, $i \in [m]$; $v_i = -1, i \in [2m] \setminus [m]$. Thus A = 0, B = 0, U = m, V = 0, A = 0, B = m. Hence $J^* = m$, and $I^* = (m - 1)m + 1$.

Example 1.7. [W2] If $F(n,k) = (n+k+\alpha+\beta)!/(k!(n-k)!(k+\alpha)!)$, then the f_n 's where $f_n(x) = \sum_k F(n,k)x^k$ are the Jacobi polynomials. (See Formula (Jacobi) in Introduction for Jacobi polynomials.) A similar calculation as in the previous examples shows that $J^* = 2$ and $I^* = 1$. This is the best possible.